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#### Abstract

Four macrocyclic bis(pyrrolidino)fullerene regioisomers with $e$-edge, e-face, trans-4 and cis-2 addition patterns were synthesized from the corresponding monoadduct by Prato's cycloaddition in a yield of $50 \%$, and fully characterized by spectroscopic techniques. Bisadduct regioisomers were isolated easily in a pure form using dry-flash column chromatography. The relative ratio of the isolated regioisomers $e$-edge/e-face/trans-4/cis-2 was 1.0:1.9:1.5:4.9. Morphology of selfassembled structures of the four bisadduct regioisomers in solution was characterized using scanning electron microscopy.


2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Controlled Bingel-Hirsch, Prato and Diels-Alder biscycloadditions are the most common reactions for the introduction of functional groups to fullerene [6,6]-double bonds and systematic studies on their regiochemistry have become one of the main goals of modern fullerene chemistry. Depending on the addend structure and the applied reaction conditions, biscycloadditions to the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ fullerene sphere by different synthetic procedures can theoretically result in the formation of eight or nine regioisomeric bisadducts, as well as a dumbbelltype derivative. ${ }^{1}$ Studies of reactivity and the application of fullerene bisadducts are often hampered by the inefficiency in obtaining pure products from the complex mixtures of regioisomers. So, in many cases bisadducts have been characterized and examined as mixtures of regioisomers, ${ }^{2}$ while examples of their successful separation and complete individual characterization are rare. ${ }^{3}$ At the same time, it has been demonstrated that the physicochemical properties of single regioisomers showed better photovoltaic performances and higher efficiency as electron acceptors in bulk heterojunction polymer solar cells than those of the corresponding isomer mixtures. ${ }^{4}$ This is particularly crucial in materials applications since the self-organization and interaction between molecules is strongly dependent on the molecular structure. Therefore, controlled bisfunctionalization of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ is pivotal not only to improve the yield of the desired bisadduct, but also in the simplification of laborious chromatographic separations. The first attempts of the regioselective synthesis of some bisadducts were
based on the reversible introduction of 2,6-dimethoxyanthracene as a directing group for bisadditions to fullerene $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ in nucleophilic cyclopropanations. In this way an increased contribution of cis-isomers was achieved, but without significant selectivity. ${ }^{5}$ The first double cycloaddition to $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ directed by the substrate was carried out by combining two cycloadditions, Bingel and Diels-Alder, with regioselective production of the equatorial isomer with an excellent yield of $50 \% .{ }^{6}$ Most of the regioselective syntheses of fullerene bisadducts are now based on tethers with two reactive moieties directing to the desired positions using Bingel, ${ }^{1 \mathrm{c}, 7}$ Diels-Alder ${ }^{1 \mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{~b}}$ and Prato $^{4 \mathrm{a}, 8,9}$ cycloaddition reactions. Tether-directed remote multifunctionalization is a widely accepted method for the regioand stereoselective synthesis of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ multiple adducts, and was extensively investigated in the case of double Bingel cycloadditions, in which two malonate moieties are connected through a xylylene bridge. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~d}}$ Hirsch and co-workers reported a new type of flexible alkyl tether in bis- and tris-malonate macrocycles which gave a completely regioselective reaction with $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and good yields of bisadducts. ${ }^{10}$ Most of the known biscycloadditions by Prato's fulleropyrrolidine synthetic methodology use free amino acids and dialdehydes bridged by different structural subunits: crown ethers, ${ }^{9 \mathrm{a}}$ triphenylamine, ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{a}$ phthalocyanine dimer, $^{9 \mathrm{c}}$ and regioisomeric xylylenes and biphenyls. ${ }^{\text {9d }}$ A supramolecular-directed functionalization approach was applied, for the first time, for the regio-, stereo-, and atroposelective synthesis of an untethered $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ bisadduct with a cis-1 addition pattern. ${ }^{11}$ The regioselective synthesis of cis-1 fullerene bisadducts starting from the commercially available
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Scheme 1: Multistep synthetic route towards bis(pyrrolidino)fullerenes 17 starting from GABA 1 and 1,6-hexanediamine 8: (i) $\mathrm{BnOH}, \mathrm{PTSAxH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, PhMe, reflux, 5 h ; (ii) $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$; ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuO}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ r.t., 24 h ; (iv) (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, r.t.: 1 h , (b) 24h; (v) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCC}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, GlyOBn, r.t., 24 h ; (vi) $\mathrm{BnO}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ r.t., 24 h ; (vii) $\mathrm{ZCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ r.t., 4 h ; (viii) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., 24 h ; (ix) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCC}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ r.t., 24 h ; (x) $\mathrm{C}_{60}$, HCHO, PhMe, reflux, 1 h ; (xi) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, PhMe, HCHO, reflux, 4 h.
phenyl- $\mathrm{C}_{61}$-butyric acid methyl ester using a tether-directed 1,3dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine ylides was reported by Zhang and co-workers. ${ }^{8}$

The selectivity of a double Prato cycloaddition of $C_{2 v^{-}}$ symmetric diglycine substrates as reactive centers linked by flexible alkyl ${ }^{12}$ or polyoxamethylene ${ }^{13}$ tethers to the fullerene $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ was recently examined in our research group. The results showed
hat the regioselectivity of a one-pot biscycloaddition of the investigated flexible substrates on fullerene is significantly improved, giving mostly mixtures of cis-regioisomers with the exception of dodecamethylene- and trioxatridecamethylenetethers which additionally give trans-4 and/or equatorial isomers.

Here we report the regioselective synthesis of four bis(pyrrolidino)fullerenes possessing an asymmetric macrocyclic diamide tether, using a two-step Prato monoaddition reaction sequence, and their complete spectral and self-assembly characterization. Also, we describe the multistep preparation of a reactive diglycine substrate comprised of the non-symmetric diamide tether with hexamethylene, Gly and GABA (4aminobutanoic acid) subunits.

## 2. Results and Discussion

In order to investigate the regiochemical outcome of the Prato reaction in the synthesis of bridged bisadducts via a fullerene monoaduct, as well as the tether directing ability, we designed the new tether substrate 14 in which the reactive glycine and protected glycinate termini were bridged by an unsymmetrical, semi-flexible subunit consisting of 14 atoms. As has been mentioned before, previous research showed that flexible tethers favored cis-addition patterns, while the bridge prolongation allowed formation of equatorial and trans-isomers. Also, an introduction of heteroatom (oxygen) into the linker led to the prevalence of the cis- 2 isomer. So, we supposed that the incorporation of a diamide segment would additionally increase the rigidity of the tether, while the presence of appropriate alkyl moieties would allow the formation of more distant addition patterns. At the same time, employing alkyl segments of the different lengths would give a possibility to distinguish both equatorial positions ( $e$-edge and $e$-face). Based on that, the tether containing HO-Gly-C6-diamide(Gly)-C4-GlyOtBu sequence seemed reasonable. As summarized in Scheme 1, the tether $\mathbf{1 4}$ was transformed to the fullerene monoadduct 15, and after deprotection of the glycinate, subjected to the second (intramolecular) cycloaddition affording four bisadducts 17a-d.

The key intermediates $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ were synthesized from commercially available 4 -aminobutanoic acid (GABA, 1) and 1,6-hexanediamine $\mathbf{8}$ in overall yields of $11 \%$ and $40 \%$, respectively (for experimental details and spectral characterization of compounds 2-7 and $\mathbf{9 - 1 4}$ see SI). GABA was esterified with benzyl alcohol in the presence of $p$ toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (PTSA) in almost quantitative yield (99\%) giving the corresponding benzyl ester in the form of the PTSA salt 2. The benzyl ester 2 was alkylated with tert-butyl bromoacetate (TBBA) to afford the glycine tert-butyl ester $\mathbf{3}$ in $30 \%$ yield. The secondary amino group in $\mathbf{3}$ was protected with di-tert-butyl carbamate $\left(\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ giving compound 4 in $78 \%$ yield. Palladium-catalyzed hydrogenolysis of the benzyl ester group gave acid 5 ( $99 \%$ ). DCC/DMAP-mediated amide coupling of the obtained acid 5 and glycine benzyl ester (GlyOBn) afforded the corresponding amide $\mathbf{6}$ in $50 \%$ yield. In the next step the benzyl group of 6 was removed by catalytic hydrogenolysis giving acid 7 in nearly quantitative yield.

The TFA salt of amine $\mathbf{1 2}$ was prepared from diamine $\mathbf{8}$ in four steps in an overall yield of $40 \%$ (mono-NH-Boc-protection, N alkylation of 9 with benzyl bromoacetate (BBA), Z-protection of NH group in 10, and TFA-mediated deprotection of NH-Boc group of 11). Release of the free amine from 12 followed by coupling with acid 7 by a standard DCC/DMAP procedure afforded diamide $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $57 \%$ yield. The benzyloxycarbonyl- and benzyl groups were cleaved by catalytic hydrogenolysis to provide the amino acid 14 in $99 \%$ yield. This $\alpha$-amino acid was
allowed to react with paraformaldehyde and $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ under Prato conditions in refluxing toluene for 1 h to form the doubleprotected fulleropyrrolidine derivative 15 in $37 \%$ yield. Finally, the ammonium trifluoroacetate salt 16 was obtained in nearly quantitative yield after deprotection of $\mathbf{1 5}$ by using TFA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. In the last step of the synthesis, in situ-liberated amino acid of $\mathbf{1 6}$ in the presence of a fivefold excess of paraformaldehyde reacted in refluxing toluene for 4 h , affording a mixture of four regioisomers ( $\mathbf{1 7 a - d}$ ) in a yield of $49 \%$. The crude mixture of regiosomers was purified by dry-flash column chromatography on silica gel using toluene/ethylacetate/methanol mixtures to elute as the first fraction, the $e$-edge regioisomer 17a in 5\% yield, followed by the second equatorial, $e$-face regioisomer 17b in $10 \%$ yield, trans- 4 regioisomer $\mathbf{1 7 c}$ in $8 \%$ yield, and finally cis-2 bisadduct $\mathbf{1 7 d}$, as the main regioisomer, in $26 \%$ yield. The relative ratio of the isolated regioisomeric bisadducts e-edge/e-face/trans-4/cis-2 was 1.0:1.9:1.5:4.9. Comparative analysis of the ratio of the obtained bisadduct regioisomers $\mathbf{1 7}$ with those in recently synthesized bisadducts in two series with flexible tethers of a similar length (dodecamethylene ${ }^{12}$ and trioxatridecamethylene-tethered substrates ${ }^{13}$ ) revealed a dependence of the regioselectivity on the tether structure. Table 1 illustrates a comparison of their regioselectivity with various distribution of cis-, equatorial and trans-4 regioisomers and better regioselectivity of the investigated cycloaddition reaction relative to bisadducts prepared using Prato biscycloaddition with a polyoxa-tethered bisglycine derivative. The increased ratio for the cis-2 isomers is observed for the bisadducts with polyoxa and peptide tethers while the trans-4 is the preferred regioisomer with the alkyl tether.

It could be suggested that the tether rigidity, resulting from the presence of the intramolecular hydrogen bond in the diamide fragment, played a role in the regiochemical outcome of the second cycloaddition and preferable formation of the cis-2 product 17d. In addition, the selectivity of the second cycloaddition toward functionalized vs. non-functionalized fullerene (i.e. formation of bisadducts vs. bridged difullerene
compound) was also examined. To that purpose, the fullerene monoadduct 16 was treated with an excess of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}(2,5$ and 10 mol equivalents) under standard reaction conditions. In all experiments only the mixture of bisadducts $\mathbf{1 7}$, with no alteration in the products distribution was formed, while the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ dumbbell molecule was not detected. The absence of the difullerene compound also support the importance of the hydrogen bond anchored, bent conformation of the tether.

Table 1. Comparison of relative distribution of the isolated regioisomeric bisadducts of fullerene containing alkyl, ${ }^{12}$ polyoxa, ${ }^{13}$ and diamide tethers.

| Tether | Ratio |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | cis-1:cis-2:cis-3:eq:trans-4 |
| $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{12-}$ | $0: 1.0: 0: 1.0: 2.8$ |
| $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3^{-}}$ | $1.0: 4.8: 2.8: 1.3: 0$ |
| $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{NHCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-$ | $0: 4.9: 0: 2.9(1.0: 1.9)^{\text {a }}: 1.5$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ the $e$-edge: $e$-face ratio
Monoadduct 15 and bisadducts 17a-d were fully characterized by UV-vis spectrophotometry, 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy and high-resolution mass spectrometry (see Experimental part and SI). All fullerene bisadducts gave the expected protonated molecular ion peak $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, as well as $(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H})^{2+}$ peak in their ESI-TOF-MS spectra (SI). The addition patterns were confirmed by comparison with the UV/Vis absorption spectra of previously reported bispyrrolidinofullerenes bridged by flexible alkyl- and polyoxamethylene-tethers. ${ }^{12,13}$ According to the number of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals and their intensities, the isomers 17a and 17b are symmetric, matching the $C$ s- symmetry of the equatorial bisaddition patterns, $e$-edge and $e$-face, respectively, while the regioisomers $\mathbf{1 7 c}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 d}$ are asymmetric. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the two equatorial isomers showed 29 fullerene $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ resonances, two of which have half-intensity, indicating their $C \mathrm{~s}$ symmetry (SI, Figures S 63 and S 71 ). The $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ fullerene C atoms located on the mirror plane gave two half-intensity signals at about $70 \mathrm{ppm}(\delta 69.44,69.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ and $69.44,69.73 \mathrm{ppm}$


b)


Figure 1. a) Expanded parts of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of bisadduct regioisomers $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ (e-edge), $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ ( $e$-face), 17c (trans-4) and 17d (cis-2); b) Important HMBC correlations of the pyrrolidine protons $\left(\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ with C atoms in the tether $\left(\mathrm{C}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right)$ in $e$-edge, $e$-face, trans- 4 and cis- 2 regioisomers. Peaks associated with: pyrrolidine methylene protons are labeled p1 (next to the hexyl-group) and p2 (next to the GABA unit), GABA and hexyl methylene protons and carbons are labeled G and H .
for 17b) and one full-intensity signal centered at 69.57(17a) N and 70.22 ppm (17b) for the remaining two $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ fullerene C atoms. Also, the pyrrolidine methylene C atoms gave three signals, two half-intensity at $\delta \sim 65$ and 68 ppm and one fullintensity signal at $\delta \sim 67 \mathrm{ppm}$ (SI, Table S1). Characteristic $\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ fullerene signals of trans-4 (50 signals) and cis-2 bisadducts (47 signals) as well as eight signals of $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ fullerenic and pyrrolidinic carbon atoms are observed in the ranges of $\delta 130-160$ and 65-70 ppm , respectively, strongly suggesting molecular asymmetry. Additionally, ten C atoms of the tether $\left(\mathrm{N}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6}-\mathrm{NHCO}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ NHCO- $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)$ in all four regioisomers gave 10 signals corresponding to the hexyl, Gly and GABA methylene units in the aliphatic $\delta 22-54 \mathrm{ppm}$ region of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, as well as two signals for the Gly and GABA amide carbonyl atoms at $\delta$ $\sim 168$ and 173 ppm, respectively (SI, Table and Figures S63, S71, S80 and S89).

Figure 1 displays parts of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (a) and important HMBC correlations (b) of bisadducts 17. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of equatorial isomers 17a,b (SI: Figures S62 and S70) contain two doublets ( 2 H each) of different chemical shifts at $\delta \sim$ 3.7 and 4.3 ppm for the pyrrolidine protons belonging to the ring perpendicular to the plane of symmetry, and one $(4 \mathrm{H})$ or two $(2 \mathrm{H}$ each) singlets ( $\delta 4.04 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathbf{1 7 b} ; 4.04$ and 4.05 ppm for $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ ) for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons of the pyrrolidinic ring which lies in the plane of symmetry, indicating their equivalence in $\mathbf{1 7 b}$, as well as their non-equivalence in regioisomer $\mathbf{1 7 a}$. In addition, their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra showed signals corresponding to the Gly methylene protons centered at $\delta 3.90$ and 3.83 ppm , terminal methylene protons of the hexamethylene unit at $\delta 3.2\left(6^{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ and $2.9 \mathrm{ppm}\left(1^{\mathrm{H}}\right)$, and the GABA methylene protons at $\delta \sim 2.1$ (triplet, $3^{\mathrm{G}}$ ), and 2.6 ppm (quintet, $2^{\mathrm{G}}$ ), with slight chemical shift differences, as well as triplet signals for the GABA methylene protons next to the pyrrolidine ring at $\delta 2.90 / 3.20 \mathrm{ppm}\left(4^{\mathrm{G}}\right)$, with the biggest chemical shift difference ( $\Delta \delta=0.22 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). The broad triplet resonances at $\delta 5.61$ and $5.65 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{NH}^{\text {hexyl }}\right)$, and 6.85 and 7.20 $\mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{NH}^{\text {Gly }}\right)$ corresponded to the exchangeable amide protons in $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ and 17 b , respectively.

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of bisadducts $\mathbf{1 7 c}$ (trans-4) (SI: Figure S78) and 17d (cis-2) (SI: Figure S88), the pyrrolidinic nonequivalent protons appeared as eight doublets in the region of 3.5-4.6 ppm (Figure 1a). The broad triplet resonances at $\delta 5.55$ and $5.86\left(\mathrm{NH}^{\text {hexyl }}\right)$ and at $\delta 6.86$ and $6.93 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{NH}^{\text {Gly }}\right)$ correspond to the exchangeable amide protons for $\mathbf{1 7 c}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 d}$, respectively. The methylene protons of the GABA and hexyl units have


Figure 2. SEM images of nano- and microstructures obtained from bisadduct regioisomers 17, upon evaporation from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (for 17a and 17b) and ODCB (for $\mathbf{1 7} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 d}$ ) solutions deposited on glass substrate at room temperature. Scale bars correspond to $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

NRent chemical shifts in the 2.0 to 3.5 ppm region of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. Also, glycine methylene protons gave two dd signals in the range of $3.6-4.15 \mathrm{ppm}$. Separated resonances additionally confirm different environments to the methylene protons above the fullerene sphere. The important HMBC threebond correlations from pyrrolidine protons $\left(\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ to the terminal carbons of the tether $\left(\mathrm{C}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right)$ observed in all four regioisomers was used for determination of the protons of the pyrrolidine rings next to the hexamethylene and GABA moieties ( $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{p} 1}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{p} 2}$, respectively, Figure 1b).

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used for the characterization and the morphological study of their selfassembled structures by a solution drop-drying method. ${ }^{14}$ Bisadduct regioisomers $\mathbf{1 7}$ have two elements of ordering, the hydrophobic interactions of fullerene cages and the hydrophilic interactions between the amide groups. SEM analysis of PhMe and o-dichlorobenzene (ODCB) solutions of regioisomeric bisadducts 17 (Figure 2) revealed their affinity in the formation of spherical nano- and microstructures with a pronounced tendency for networking, similarly to the self-organized structures obtained from fullerene-peptide-steroid triads investigated in our research group. ${ }^{15}$

## 3. Conclusion

In summary, we report the regioselective stepwise synthesis of four macrocyclic bis(pyrrolidine) $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ adducts ( $e$-edge, $e$-face, trans-4, and cis-2), and their complete spectral characterization. Also, we describe the multistep preparation of a diamide substrate containing two glycine substructures. Fullerene monoadduct and four bisadduct regioisomers, including both equatorial isomers, were isolated in pure form using dry-flash column chromatography without the use of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) separation and purification, and were fully characterized. The aggregation properties of regioisomers 17 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and ODCB solution were studied using SEM. The morphology results showed similarity in the formation of spherical assemblies and their merging and networking. These novel $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ regioisomers-fused macrocyclic lactams are expected to be potentially important as building blocks in the synthesis of higher fullerene adducts and complex supramolecular architectures.

## 4. Experimental section

4.1. General: Flash column chromatography (FCC) and drycolumn flash chromatography (DCFC) were carried out with Merck silica gel $0.04-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $0.015-0.04 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on precoated silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates. Melting points were determined on a Digital melting point WRS-1B apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer FTIR $1725 X$ spectrophotometer. UV spectra were recorded with a GBC-Cintra 40 UV-vis spectrophotometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ${ }_{13}$ spectra were recorded with Varian Gemini $200\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ at 200 MHz , ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 50 MHz ) and Bruker Avance spectrometers ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at 500 $\mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 125 MHz ). Chemical shifts are measured in $\mathrm{ppm}, J$ in Hz . The sample was dissolved in the indicated solvent system, and TMS was used as an internal reference. The homonuclear 2D (DQF-COSY) and the heteronuclear 2D ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra (HSQC, HMBC) were recorded with the usual settings. The NMR spectra of all carbamates (4-7, 11 and 12) are consistent with the expected structure but are complicated (splitting of some signals) by the presence of carbamate rotamers. The high-resolution mass spectra were obtained with an Agilent Technologies 6210 TOF LC-MS spectrometer. Investigations of sample morphology were carried out with SEM, using a JEOL JSM-840A instrument, at an
acceleration voltage of 30 kV . A drop of $1 \wedge \mathrm{mM}$ solution of sample in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and ODCB was deposited on the surface of glass substrate and left for 24 h to slowly evaporate in a glass petri dish (diameter 10 cm ) under a PhMe atmosphere at room temperature. The investigated samples were gold sputtered in a JFC 1100 ion sputterer and then subjected to SEM observations. The solvents used for the SEM experiments (HPLC grade) were stored over $3 \AA$ molecular sieves and degassed under vacuum prior use.
4.2. Synthesis of fulleropyrrolidine 15. A suspension of glycine derivative 14 ( $72.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{C}_{60}(98.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014$ mmol ), and $\mathrm{HCHO}(21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{PhMe}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated under reflux for 1 h . The obtained reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and evaporated to dryness. DCFC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ using PhMe gave unreacted $\mathrm{C}_{60}(55 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$. Elution with $\mathrm{PhMe} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}(5 / 5 / 1)$ and subsequent precipitation from a highly concentrated $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution with MeOH gave pure fulleropeptide ester $15(62.3 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%)$ as a brown powder. IR(ATR): 3344, 3057, 2978, 2934, 2861, 2796, 1744, 1691, $1545,1462,1430,1368,1253,1159 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.00\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HN}^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 6.66(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{HN}^{\mathrm{Gly}}\right), 4.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\text {pyrr }}\right), 3.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Gly}}\right)$, 3.75 (s, $\left.\quad 2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{GlyotBu}}\right), \quad 3.35-3.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, \quad 4 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 3.07\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 2.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \quad 2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(2)^{\mathrm{GABA}}$ ), 1.94 (app. quint, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(2)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 1.85$ (app. quint, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(3)^{\mathrm{GABA}}$ ), 1.68$1.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(3,5)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 1.55-1.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(4)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 1.47$ (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ ), $1.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=173.38\left(\mathrm{CO}^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 169.33\left(\mathrm{CO}^{\mathrm{Gly}}\right), 169.09\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)$, $156.26\left(\mathrm{CO}^{\mathrm{Boc}}\right), 155.15,147.30,146.23,146.11,146.05,145.70$, $145.39,145.28,144.56,143.09,142.61,142.25,142.06,141.88$, $140.14,136.23\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}-\mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right), 81.64,80.45\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {tBu }}\right), 70.69\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}-\mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right)$, $68.03\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {pyrI }}\right)$, $55.00\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 50.29\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{tBu}\right), 47.11$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), \quad 43.74 \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{\mathrm{Gly}}\right), 33.51\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 332.83$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(2)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 29.58\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(5)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 28.79\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(2)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 28.26$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.07\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.34\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(3)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 26.88\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(4)^{\text {hexyl }}\right)$, $24.30\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(3)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\varepsilon)=254$ (29000), 306 (31000), 327 (31000), 431 (6900), 698 (2100) nm $\left(\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$; MS(ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{85} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 1219.3490, found 1219.3498.
4.3. Deprotection of fulleropyrrolidine 15. A solution of fullerene derivative $15(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.049 \mathrm{mmol})$ in TFA/DCM (1:1, 4 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and then evaporated to dryness. The excess of TFA was removed by coevaporation with $\mathrm{PhMe}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), giving the corresponding TFA salt 16 ( $55.8 \mathrm{mg}, 96.3 \%$ ), as a brown powder, which was used in the next step without further purification.

IR: 3700-2300 (broad band), 3302, 2924, 2853, 1674, 1427, $1199,1136 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV (DCM): $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ : $220-300,429,538,702 \mathrm{~nm}$. MS(ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \quad(\mathrm{M})^{+}: 1063.2340$, found 1063.2325.
4.4. Synthesis of bis(pyrrolidino)fullerenes 17a-d. To a solution of the TFA salt $\mathbf{1 6}(97.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{PhMe}(100$ $\mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . Then $\mathrm{HCHO}(13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv.) was added and the mixture heated to reflux for 4 h . The obtained reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and separated by DCFC. Regioisomeric bisadducts $\mathbf{1 7}$ were eluted in the following order: $e$-edge, e-face, trans-4, cis-2. DCFC (with preparative TLC for trans-4) and further precipitation of crude products from their highly concentrated $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions with MeOH yielded: $e$-edge bisadduct $\mathbf{1 7 a}(4.8 \mathrm{mg}, 5.3 \%$, DCFC: $\mathrm{PhMe} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} 5: 5: 0.1$ ), e-face bisadduct $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ ( 8.9 mg , 9.9\%, DCFC: PhMe/EtOAc/MeOH 5:5:0.1), trans-4 bisadduct

17c ( $7.2 \mathrm{mg}, 88.0 \%$, DCFC: $\mathrm{PhMe} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} 5: 5: 0.15$; TLC: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 100: 3$ ), and cis-2 bisadduct 17d ( 23.3 mg , $26.0 \%$, DCFC: $\mathrm{PhMe} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} 5: 5: 0.2$ ). Total yield of the isolated bisadducts was $49.2 \%$ (e-edge/e-face/trans-4/cis-2 = 1:1.9:1.5:4.9).
4.4.1. Bisadduct 17a (e-edge): IR(ATR): 3395, 3296, 2926, 2858, 2782, 1650, 1512, 1456, 1341, 1261, $1119 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 6.85 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}^{\mathrm{Gly}}$ ), 5.61 (br d, 1 H , $\left.\mathrm{NH}^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 4.29\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyrr- }}\right), 4.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\text {pyrr-1 }}\right)$, $4.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\text {pyrr-1}}\right), 3.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\text {Gly }}\right), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyrr-2 }}\right), 3.21\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 2.92$ (br s, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 2.90\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 2.60$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(2)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 2.09$ (quint, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(3)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 1.82-1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(2)^{\text {hexyl }}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(4)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 1.62-$ $1.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(5)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 1.50-1.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(3)^{\text {hexyl }}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $172.84\left(\mathrm{CO}^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 167.64\left(\mathrm{CO}^{\mathrm{Gly}}\right)$, 159.52, 153.77, 153.30, 152.31, 149.64, 148.80, 148.12, 147.69, 147.51, 147.38, 147.24, 145.87, 145.71, 145.13, 145.01, 144.55, $144.35,143.59,143.34,142.29,141.52,141.45,141.16,140.97$, $139.51,136.61,135.42\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right), 69.90\left(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right), 69.57(2 \mathrm{C}$, $\left.\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right), 69.44\left(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right), 68.46\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {pyr-1 }}\right), 67.10\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {pyr-2 }}\right)$, $65.02\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{\text {pyrr-1 }}\right), 53.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 51.13\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 42.51$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {Gly }}\right)\right), \quad 38.27\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 33.17\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(2)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), \quad 28.11$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(5)^{\text {hexyl }}\right)$, $27.08\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(4)^{\text {hexyl }}\right)$, $25.11\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(3)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 24.47$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(2)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 23.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(3)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right)$ ppm; Key HMBC correlations: $3.65 \mathrm{~d} / 51.13\left(\mathrm{H}^{\text {pyr-2 }} / \mathrm{C}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 4.05 \mathrm{~s}$ and $4.04 \mathrm{~s} / 53.95\left(\mathrm{H}^{\text {pyrr- }}\right.$ $\left.{ }^{1} / \mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right)$; MS (ESI): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H})^{2+}$ : 516.1257, $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 1031.2442$, found $516.1265,1031.2482$; UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\max }(\varepsilon): 316(7800), 422(1100) \mathrm{nm}\left(\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$.
4.4.2. Bisadduct 17b (e-face): IR(ATR): 3317, 2928, 2856, 2793, 1654, 1523, 1455, 1340, 1262, $1160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.20\left(\mathrm{brt}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}^{\text {Gly }}\right.$ ), 5.65 (br t, $J=5.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 4.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyrr-1 }}\right), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\text {pyrr-2 }}\right), 3.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Gly}}\right), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyrr-1 }}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 3.12(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 2.91\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(2)^{\mathrm{GABA}}$ ), 2.13 (quint, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(3)^{\mathrm{GABA}}$ ), 1.72-1.66 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(2)^{\text {hexyl }}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(3)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 1.56-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(5)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 1.42\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(4)^{\text {hexyl }}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 173.77\left(\mathrm{CO}^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 168.59\left(\mathrm{CO}^{\mathrm{Gly}}\right), 159.09$, $154.55,153.24,152.56,149.71,148.88,148.14,147.76,147.59$, 147.49, 147.24, 146.12, 145.81, 145.21, 145.03, 144.70, 144.65, $144.39,143.62,143.29,142.31,141.65,141.29,141.23,140.79$, 139.13, 136.67, $135.67\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right), 70.22\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right), 69.73(1 \mathrm{C}$, $\left.\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right), 69.44\left(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right), 67.53\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {pyr-2 }}\right), 66.92\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {pyrr-1 }}\right)$, $65.37\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{\text {pyrr-2 }}\right), 52.21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 50.77\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 42.76$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {Gly }}\right)\right), \quad 39.85 \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 33.54 \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(2)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), \quad 29.62$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(5)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 27.56\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(3)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 26.22\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(4)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 25.95$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(2)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 23.82\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(3)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right)$ ppm; Key HMBC correlations: $4.04 \mathrm{~s} / 50.77\left(\mathrm{H}^{\text {pyr-2 }} / \mathrm{C}(4)^{\text {GABA }}\right), 3.71 \mathrm{~d} / 52.21\left(\mathrm{H}^{\text {pyrr- }} / \mathrm{C}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}\right) ; \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H})^{2+}$ : 516.1257 , $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 1031.2442, found 516.1253, 1031.2424; UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\max }(\varepsilon)$ : 314 (8200), 422 (1100) nm ( $\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ).
4.4.3. Bisadduct 17c (trans-4): IR(ATR): 3318, 2927, 2856, 2794, 1649, 1523, 1453, 1340, 1260, $1156 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $6.86\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}^{\text {Gly }}\right), 5.60(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 4.60\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{,} \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyr- }}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyr-2 }}\right.$ ), $4.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyrr-1 }}$ ), $4.44\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyr-2 }}\right.$ ), $3.90-3.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Gly}}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyrr-2 }}\right.$ ), 3.84 $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyrr-1 }}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyr-2 }}\right.$ ), $3.70-$ $3.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\text {Gly }}\right), 3.57\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyrr-1 }}\right), 3.38-3.29$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 3.26-3.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 3.11-3.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 3.04-2.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 3.00-2.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 2.61-2.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(2)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 2.34-2.24(\mathrm{~m}$,
$\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(3)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 2.10-2.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(3)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 1.92-1.72(\mathrm{~m}$, MANUS $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(2)^{\text {hexyl }}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(3)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 1.61-1.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}(5)^{\text {hexy1 }}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}(4)^{\text {hexyl }}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 172.96 $\left(\mathrm{CO}^{\text {GABA }}\right), 168.19\left(\mathrm{CO}^{\mathrm{Gly}}\right), 154.11,153.76,153.47,153.37$, $152.31,151.84,150.86,150.12,150.04,149.46,149.16,148.58$, $148.48,148.38,148.15,147.39,147.29,147.06,146.99,146.26$, $146.12,146.07,145.74,145.63,144.59,144.53,144.35,142.80$, $142.53,142.49,142.45,142.28,141.92,141.53,141.48,141.44$, $141.38,141.33,138.91,138.46,136.04,135.84,135.33,135.22$, $131.33,131.24\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right), 69.80,69.41,69.24,69.17\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right)$, $68.50\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{\text {pyrr-1 }}\right), 67.62\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {pyr-2 }}\right), 67.07\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {pyr-2 }}\right), 66.69\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {pyr- }}\right.$ $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$, $54.00\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 51.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 43.13\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {Gly }}\right), 39.23$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 34.75\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(2)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 29.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(5)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 27.06$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(2)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 26.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(3)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 25.47\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(4)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 22.80$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(3)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Key HMBC correlations: $3.70 \mathrm{~d} / 51.93\left(\mathrm{H}^{\text {pyr- }}\right.$ $\left.{ }^{2} / \mathrm{C}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 3.57 \mathrm{~d} ; 3.84 \mathrm{~d} / 54.00\left(\mathrm{H}^{\text {pyrr- }} / \mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right) ; \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H})^{2+}$ : $516.1257,(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 1031.2442, found $516.1254,1031.2438$; UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : $\lambda_{\max }(\varepsilon)$ : 264 (35000), 308 (18000), 638 (290), 705 (200) nm (mol $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$.
4.4.4. Bisadduct 17d (cis-2): IR(ATR): 3308, 2930, 2858, 2788, 1656, 1531, 1449, 1343, 1237, 1163, $1116 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $6.93\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}^{\text {Gly }}\right.$ ), $6.00(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 4.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyr-2 }}\right.$ ), $4.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyr- }}$ ), $4.11\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {Gly }}\right), 3.96$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyr-2 }}$ ), $3.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyrr-1 }}\right), 3.87$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyrr-2 }}$ ), 3.76 (dd, $J=4.0 ; 16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\mathrm{Gly}}$ ), $3.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyr-1 }}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyrr-2 }}\right.$ ), $3.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}^{\text {pyrr-1 }}\right), 3.47-3.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}\right)$, 3.10-3.01 ( $\left.\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}, \mathrm{HC}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right)$, 3.01-2.96 (m, 1 H , $\left.\mathrm{HC}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 2.96-2.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 2.78-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{HC}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}$ ), $2.62\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(2)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right.$ ), 2.47 (dt, $J=13.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(2)^{\mathrm{GABA}}$ ), 2.10 (quint, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(3)^{\text {GABA }}\right), 1.93-1.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(2)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 1.82-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{HC}(3)^{\text {hexyl }}\right)$, 1.74-1.67 (m, 1H, HC( 2$\left.)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 1.67-1.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}(5)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 1.57-1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}(3)^{\text {hexyl }}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(4)^{\text {hexyl }}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $173.72\left(\mathrm{CO}^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 168.72\left(\mathrm{CO}^{\mathrm{Gly}}\right)$, 158.61, 158.37, 156.76, 156.59, 149.32, 148.85, 148.77, 148.38, 148.01, 147.93, 147.87, 147.54, 147.47, 147.20, 147.16, 146.99, 146.91, 146.49, 146.14, 146.10, 145.82, 145.73, 145.54, 145.43, 145.13, 145.12, $144.89,144.64,144.55,144.53,144.22,144.03$, $142.92,141.70,141.65,140.99,139.09,138.88,133.90,133.38$, 133.26, 132.80, $129.18\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right), 67.98\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {pyrr-2 }}\right), 67.77\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {pyrr }}\right.$ $\left.{ }^{1}\right), 67.14,66.95,66.74,66.68\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}^{\text {full }}\right), 66.52\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {pyrr-1 }}\right), 65.88$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{\text {pyrr-2 }}\right), \quad 53.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), \quad 52.02\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(4)^{\text {GABA }}\right), 43.30$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{\text {Gly }}\right)\right)$, $39.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(6)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), \quad 33.86\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(2)^{\text {GABA }}\right), \quad 28.15$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(5)^{\text {hexyl }}\right)$, $26.85\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(2)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 25.39\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(4)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 25.21$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(3)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), 24.28\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}(3)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Key HMBC correlations: $3.73 \mathrm{~d} / 53.81 \quad\left(\mathrm{H}^{\text {pyrr-1}} / \mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right), \quad 3.57 \mathrm{~d} / 53.81 \quad\left(\mathrm{H}^{\text {pyrr-1 }} / \mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {hexyl }}\right)$, $3.87 \mathrm{~d} / 52.02\left(\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{pyr}-2} / \mathrm{C}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right), 3.72 \mathrm{~d} / 52.01\left(\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{pyrr}-2} / \mathrm{C}(4)^{\mathrm{GABA}}\right) ; \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H})^{2+}$ : $516.1257,(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 1031.2442, found 516.1254, 1031.2426; UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : $\lambda_{\max }(\varepsilon)$ : 259 (17000), 305 (8200), 446 (1000) $\mathrm{nm}\left(\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$.
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## Supplementary Material

Detailed reaction procedures for the syntheses of compounds $\mathbf{2 - 1 4}$ with their spectra, as well as Table S1 (NMR chemical shifts of bisadducts 17) and spectra (IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, MS, and UV-Vis) for fulleropyrrolidine monoadducts ( $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ ) and bisadducts ( $\mathbf{1 7 a - d}$ ) are given in the Supplementary Information.
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